

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	10/718,928	SCHOEB, RETO		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ryan Christensen	2856		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperation apperation all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS	
1. This communication is responsive to 11/03/2006.				
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>15-18</u> .				
3.	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this is of this communication to file a reply of IENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' es reason(s) why the oath or declarate st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1) s Amendment / Comment or in the Comment or in the Comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment of the comment of the comment or the drawing stop is should be written on the drawing stop in the comment of the comment or the comment of the	national stage applical complying with the red S AMENDMENT or Nation is deficient. 948) attached office action of the front (not the last in the front (not the	quirements	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	SIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL INFORTHE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICA	nust be submitted. I AL MATERIAL.	Note the	
Attachment(s) 1. ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PT	O-152)	
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment		
 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 11/17/2003 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	Paper No./Mail Dat 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendr			
	8. Examiner's Stateme	8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance		
	9. Other	9. Other		

Application/Control Number: 10/718,928

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 15:

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 1 is the inclusion of, "directing the liquid into the plump, operating the pump at a <u>reduced efficiency</u> which <u>is less than half</u> the <u>maximum efficiency</u> of the pump, determining a torque required to operate the pump at the reduced efficiency, and determining the viscosity of the liquid from the torque applied to the pump." It is these features found in the claim, as they are claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this claim allowable over the prior art. Specifically the prior art does not teach or render obvious the pump having a maximum efficiency, which is the ratio of the forwarding performance of the pump and the mechanical performance of the drive motor, and operating at less than half that efficiency in order to determine the viscosity of a fluid.

- U.S. Patent 4,077,251 (Winter) discloses a viscosity measuring device and method including a rotary cylinder. Fluid is directed into the cylinder and the viscosity is determined as a function of torque. Winter does not disclose any maximum efficiency nor does it disclose operating at less than half capacity or efficiency.
- U.S. Patent 4,032,391 (Monked) discloses another apparatus for determining viscosity as a function of torque. The pump disclosed in Monked is a gear pump as opposed to a rotary pump. Monked does not explicitly teach operating a pump at less

Application/Control Number: 10/718,928

Art Unit: 2856

than half a maximum efficiency, where that efficiency is the ration of the forwarding performance of the pump and mechanical performance of the drive motor. Monked discloses a number of operating conditions. In each example the volumetric efficiency is 90% and above.

U.S. Patent 6,167,752 (Raffer) discloses a rotary viscometer measuring device. Raffer disclose both a controlled shear rate test and a controlled shear stress test, but does not indicate either of these conditions are created by a rotary pump operating a less than half maximum efficiency.

U.S. Patent 6,241,485 (Warwick) discloses the structure of a rotary pump for pumping sewage. Warwick does not disclose using torque in order to determine the viscosity of a fluid.

U.S. Patent 5,307,288 (Haines) discloses a variable speed pump controlled by a microprocessor. The microprocessor is cable of storing conditions and can run the pump at various efficiencies. Haines does not disclose a device for measuring viscosity. Some parameters such as pressure are measured they relate to controlling pump flow, not to determining viscosity of the fluid.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/718,928

Art Unit: 2856

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Christensen whose telephone number is 571-272-2683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RSC

HEZRÖN WILLIAMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800